

An overview of local dynamics in several complex variables

Liverpool, January 15, 2008

Marco Abate

University of Pisa, Italy

<http://www.dm.unipi.it/~abate>

Local dynamics

Local dynamics

- Dynamics about a fixed point

Local dynamics

- Dynamics about a fixed point
- Stable set (iterates do not escape)

Local dynamics

- Dynamics about a fixed point
- Stable set (iterates do not escape)
- Conjugacy helps

One complex variable

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots,$$

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \lambda$$

One complex variable

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots,$$

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \lambda$$

λ : multiplier

One complex variable

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots,$$

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \lambda$$

λ : multiplier

- **Hyperbolic:** $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$

One complex variable

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots,$$

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \lambda$$

λ : multiplier

- **Hyperbolic:** $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$
- **Superattracting:** $\lambda = 0$

One complex variable

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots,$$

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \lambda$$

λ : multiplier

- **Hyperbolic:** $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$
- **Superattracting:** $\lambda = 0$
- **Parabolic:** $|\lambda| = 1, \lambda = e^{2\pi i \theta}, \theta \in \mathbb{Q}$

One complex variable

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots,$$

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \lambda$$

λ : multiplier

- **Hyperbolic:** $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$
- **Superattracting:** $\lambda = 0$
- **Parabolic:** $|\lambda| = 1, \lambda = e^{2\pi i \theta}, \theta \in \mathbb{Q}$
- **Elliptic:** $|\lambda| = 1, \lambda = e^{2\pi i \theta}, \theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$

One complex variable

Hyperbolic: $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$

One complex variable

Hyperbolic: $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$

Königs (1884):

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots$$

is locally holomorphically conjugated to

$$g(z) = \lambda z$$

(it is holomorphically linearizable).

One complex variable

Hyperbolic: $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$

Königs (1884):

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots$$

is locally holomorphically conjugated to

$$g(z) = \lambda z$$

(it is holomorphically linearizable).

$0 < |\lambda| < 1$: attracting; $|\lambda| > 1$: repelling

One complex variable

Superattracting: $\lambda=0$

One complex variable

Superattracting: $\lambda=0$

Böttcher (1904):

$$f(z) = a_r z^r + \dots$$

is locally holomorphically conjugated to

$$g(z) = z^r$$

One complex variable

Parabolic: $|\lambda|=1, \lambda=e^{2\pi i\theta}, \theta \in \mathbb{Q}$

One complex variable

Parabolic: $|\lambda|=1$, $\lambda=e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$

Leau-Fatou flower theorem (1897, 1919):

$$f(z) = z + a_r z^r + \dots$$

dynamical flower with $r-1$ petals

One complex variable

Parabolic: $|\lambda|=1$, $\lambda=e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$

Leau-Fatou flower theorem (1897, 1919):

$$f(z) = z + a_r z^r + \dots$$

dynamical flower with $r-1$ petals

Camacho (1978):

f is locally topologically conjugated to

$$g(z) = z + z^r$$

and formally conjugated to

$$h(z) = z + z^r + cz^{2r-1}$$

One complex variable

Parabolic: $|\lambda|=1$, $\lambda=e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$

Leau-Fatou flower theorem (1897, 1919):

$$f(z) = z + a_r z^r + \dots$$

dynamical flower with $r-1$ petals

Camacho (1978):

f is locally topologically conjugated to

$$g(z) = z + z^r$$

and formally conjugated to

$$h(z) = z + z^r + cz^{2r-1}$$

Écalle, Voronin (1981):

(very complicated) holomorphic classification

One complex variable

Elliptic: $|\lambda|=1$, $\lambda=e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$

One complex variable

Elliptic: $|\lambda|=1$, $\lambda=e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$

Siegel-Bryuno (1942, 1965):

if $\lambda \in B$ (full-measure subset of S^1) then all

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots$$

are holomorphically linearizable.

One complex variable

Elliptic: $|\lambda|=1$, $\lambda=e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$

Siegel-Bryuno (1942, 1965):

if $\lambda \in B$ (full-measure subset of S^1) then all

$$f(z) = \lambda z + a_r z^r + \dots$$

are holomorphically linearizable.

Cremer-Yoccoz (1927, 1988):

if $\lambda \notin B$ (dense uncountable subset of S^1) then

$$f(z) = \lambda z + z^2$$

is not holomorphically linearizable.

Several complex variables

$$f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots,$$

$$f(O) = O, \quad df_O = A$$

Several complex variables

$$f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots,$$

$$f(O) = O, \quad df_O = A$$

$\text{sp}(A)$: multipliers

Several complex variables

$$f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots,$$

$$f(O) = O, \quad df_O = A$$

$\text{sp}(A)$: multipliers

- **Hyperbolic:** $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Several complex variables

$$f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots,$$

$$f(O) = O, \quad df_O = A$$

$\text{sp}(A)$: multipliers

- **Hyperbolic:** $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$
- **Superattracting:** $A = O$

Several complex variables

$$f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots,$$

$$f(O) = O, \quad df_O = A$$

$\text{sp}(A)$: multipliers

- **Hyperbolic:** $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$
- **Superattracting:** $A = O$
- **Parabolic (tangent to identity):** $A = I$

Several complex variables

$$f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots,$$

$$f(O) = O, \quad df_O = A$$

$\text{sp}(A)$: multipliers

- **Hyperbolic:** $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$
- **Superattracting:** $A = O$
- **Parabolic (tangent to identity):** $A = I$
- **Elliptic...**

Several complex variables

$$f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots,$$

$$f(O) = O, \quad df_O = A$$

$\text{sp}(A)$: multipliers

- **Hyperbolic:** $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$
- **Superattracting:** $A = O$
- **Parabolic (tangent to identity):** $A = I$
- **Elliptic...**
- **Mixed cases...**

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Similarities

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Similarities

Perron-Hadamard Stable Manifold Theorem (≥ 1928):

E^s : sum of gen. eigenspaces of attracting eigenvalues

E^u : sum of gen. eigenspaces of repelling eigenvalues

Then there are complex manifolds $W^{s/u}$

tangent to $E^{s/u}$ at the origin such that

$f^k(z) \rightarrow O$ iff $z \in W^s$ and $f^{-k}(z) \rightarrow O$ iff $z \in W^u$

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Similarities

Perron–Hadamard Stable Manifold Theorem (≥ 1928):

E^s : sum of gen. eigenspaces of attracting eigenvalues

E^u : sum of gen. eigenspaces of repelling eigenvalues

Then there are complex manifolds $W^{s/u}$

tangent to $E^{s/u}$ at the origin such that

$f^k(z) \rightarrow O$ iff $z \in W^s$ and $f^{-k}(z) \rightarrow O$ iff $z \in W^u$

Grobman–Hartman (1959–60):

f is locally topologically conjugated to Az

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Differences

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Differences

In general, f is **not** locally holomorphically conjugated to Az

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Differences

In general, f is **not** locally holomorphically conjugated to Az

$$(\lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda_n)^{k_n} - \lambda_j$$

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Differences

In general, f is **not** locally holomorphically conjugated to Az

$$(\lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda_n)^{k_n} - \lambda_j$$

- Resonances prevent formal linearization

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Differences

In general, f is **not** locally holomorphically conjugated to Az

$$(\lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda_n)^{k_n} - \lambda_j$$

- Resonances prevent formal linearization
 - **Poincaré (1893)**: if f is attracting/repelling, then f is holomorphically linearizable iff it is formally linearizable

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Differences

In general, f is **not** locally holomorphically conjugated to Az

$$(\lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda_n)^{k_n} - \lambda_j$$

- Resonances prevent formal linearization
 - **Poincaré (1893)**: if f is attracting/repelling, then f is holomorphically linearizable iff it is formally linearizable
 - **Poincaré-Dulac (1912)**: f is formally conjugated to a map with only resonant monomials

Several complex variables

Hyperbolic: $\text{sp}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \setminus S^1$

Differences

In general, f is **not** locally holomorphically conjugated to Az

$$(\lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda_n)^{k_n} - \lambda_j$$

- Resonances prevent formal linearization
 - **Poincaré (1893)**: if f is attracting/repelling, then f is holomorphically linearizable iff it is formally linearizable
 - **Poincaré-Dulac (1912)**: f is formally conjugated to a map with only resonant monomials
- Small divisors prevent holomorphic linearization

Aside (by popular demand):

Fatou-Bieberbach domains

Aside (by popular demand):

Fatou-Bieberbach domains

- Take f globally defined on \mathbb{C}^n .

Aside (by popular demand):

Fatou–Bieberbach domains

- Take f globally defined on \mathbb{C}^n .
- Assume attracting, no resonances: we get a local holomorphic linearization ϕ .

Aside (by popular demand):

Fatou-Bieberbach domains

- Take f globally defined on \mathbb{C}^n .
- Assume attracting, no resonances: we get a local holomorphic linearization ϕ .
- Extend ϕ to basin of attraction $B \neq \mathbb{C}^n$: the image is \mathbb{C}^n .

Aside (by popular demand):

Fatou–Bieberbach domains

- Take f globally defined on \mathbb{C}^n .
- Assume attracting, no resonances: we get a local holomorphic linearization ϕ .
- Extend ϕ to basin of attraction $B \neq \mathbb{C}^n$: the image is \mathbb{C}^n .
- No critical points of f in B implies no critical points of ϕ in B .

Aside (by popular demand):

Fatou–Bieberbach domains

- Take f globally defined on \mathbb{C}^n .
- Assume attracting, no resonances: we get a local holomorphic linearization ϕ .
- Extend ϕ to basin of attraction $B \neq \mathbb{C}^n$: the image is \mathbb{C}^n .
- No critical points of f in B implies no critical points of ϕ in B .
- $n=1$: ϕ covering of \mathbb{C} , impossible.

Aside (by popular demand):

Fatou–Bieberbach domains

- Take f globally defined on \mathbb{C}^n .
- Assume attracting, no resonances: we get a local holomorphic linearization ϕ .
- Extend ϕ to basin of attraction $B \neq \mathbb{C}^n$: the image is \mathbb{C}^n .
- No critical points of f in B implies no critical points of ϕ in B .
- $n=1$: ϕ covering of \mathbb{C} , impossible.
- $n>1$ and f globally invertible: B is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n but not \mathbb{C}^n !

Aside (by popular demand):

Fatou-Bieberbach domains

- Take f globally defined on \mathbb{C}^n .
- Assume attracting, no resonances: we get a local holomorphic linearization ϕ .
- Extend ϕ to basin of attraction $B \neq \mathbb{C}^n$: the image is \mathbb{C}^n .
- No critical points of f in B implies no critical points of ϕ in B .
- $n=1$: ϕ covering of \mathbb{C} , impossible.
- $n>1$ and f globally invertible: B is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n but not \mathbb{C}^n !

$$f(z, w) = (w/2 - z^2, z)$$

Several complex variables

Superattracting: $A=0$

Differences

Several complex variables

Superattracting: $A=O$

Differences

In general, f is **not** locally topologically conjugated to $P_r(z)$

Several complex variables

Superattracting: $A=0$

Differences

In general, f is **not** locally topologically conjugated to $P_r(z)$

Topological, holomorphic, formal classifications:
wide open, as well as local dynamics.
(Some results by Hubbard, Favre-Jonsson).

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- Characteristic direction: $P_r(v) = cv$

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- Characteristic direction: $P_r(v) = cv$
- Degenerate: $c=0$; Non-degenerate otherwise.

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- Characteristic direction: $P_r(v) = cv$
- Degenerate: $c=0$; Non-degenerate otherwise.
- (Orbit tangent to v implies characteristic.)

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- Characteristic direction: $P_r(v) = cv$
- Degenerate: $c=0$; Non-degenerate otherwise.
 - (Orbit tangent to v implies characteristic.)
- Parabolic curve: 1-dimensional holomorphic f -invariant curve, attracted by O .

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- Characteristic direction: $P_r(v) = cv$
- Degenerate: $c=0$; Non-degenerate otherwise.
 - (Orbit tangent to v implies characteristic.)
- Parabolic curve: 1-dimensional holomorphic f -invariant curve, attracted by O .
 - It can be (asymptotically) tangent to v .

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- Characteristic direction: $P_r(v) = cv$
- Degenerate: $c=0$; Non-degenerate otherwise.
 - (Orbit tangent to v implies characteristic.)
- Parabolic curve: 1-dimensional holomorphic f -invariant curve, attracted by O .
 - It can be (asymptotically) tangent to v .
- **Écalle-Hakim (1985, 1998)**: if v is a non-degenerate characteristic direction, then there is a Fatou flower for f tangent to v .

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- Characteristic direction: $P_r(v) = cv$
- Degenerate: $c=0$; Non-degenerate otherwise.
 - (Orbit tangent to v implies characteristic.)
- Parabolic curve: 1-dimensional holomorphic f -invariant curve, attracted by O .
 - It can be (asymptotically) tangent to v .
- **Écalle-Hakim (1985, 1998)**: if v is a non-degenerate characteristic direction, then there is a Fatou flower for f tangent to v .
- **A. (2001)**: if $n=2$ and O isolated fixed point, then there is a Fatou flower for f .

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Differences

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Differences

In general, parabolic curves are 1-dimensional
only.

Several complex variables

Parabolic (tangent to identity): $f(z) = z + P_r(z) + \dots$

Differences

In general, parabolic curves are 1-dimensional **only**.

Topological, holomorphic, formal classifications:
wide open, as well as local dynamics.
(Some results by Écalle, A.-Tovena).

Several complex variables

Elliptic...: $f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

Several complex variables

Elliptic...: $f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

$$\omega(m) = \inf\{ |(\lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda_n)^{k_n} - \lambda_j|; 2 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_n \leq m \}$$

Several complex variables

Elliptic...: $f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- $\omega(m) = \inf\{ |(\lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda_n)^{k_n} - \lambda_j|; 2 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_n \leq m \}$
- **Brjuno (1971)**: if $-\sum_m 2^{-m} \log \omega(2^{m-1}) < +\infty$ (Brjuno condition) then f is holomorphically linearizable

Several complex variables

Elliptic...: $f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots$

Similarities

- $\omega(m) = \inf\{ |(\lambda_1)^{k_1} \cdots (\lambda_n)^{k_n} - \lambda_j|; 2 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_n \leq m \}$
- **Brjuno (1971)**: if $-\sum_m 2^{-m} \log \omega(2^{m-1}) < +\infty$ (Brjuno condition) then f is holomorphically linearizable
- **Pöschel (1986)**: partial linearization results

Several complex variables

Elliptic...: $f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots$

Differences

Several complex variables

Elliptic...: $f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots$

Differences

Not known if Brjuno condition is necessary.

Several complex variables

Elliptic...: $f(z) = Az + P_r(z) + \dots$

Differences

Not known if Brjuno condition is necessary.

Convergence of a Poincaré–Dulac normal form?

An overview of local dynamics in several complex variables

Liverpool, January 15, 2008

Marco Abate

University of Pisa, Italy

<http://www.dm.unipi.it/~abate>